UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,041	11/24/2003	Clyde Lewell Smith	-	5006
Clyde Lewell S	7590 11/15/2007 mith	EXAMINER		
7038 Nashville Ave.			FLORES SANCHEZ, OMAR	
St. Louis, MO <u>6</u> 3117			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/15/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/721,041	SMITH, CLYDE LEWELL			
į	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Omar Flores-Sánchez	3724			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
• -	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 August 2007</u> .					
,	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
•						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ C	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15 and 35-39</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
• ==	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
•	claim(s) 1-15 and 35-39 is/are rejected.					
•	claim(s) is/are objected to.	election requirement				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)∐ TI	ne specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		•				
Attachment(s						
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sumn Paper No(s)/Ma				
3) Informa	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/721,041

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to applicant's amendment received on 8/23/07.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-15, 35-38 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Garcia et al. (5,701,680) in view of Magi (3,583,734).

Garcia et al. discloses (Fig. 1-13) the invention substantially as claimed including:

• Claim 1; a scribe member 124, a tile engagement member 36, an extension member 122 and locking member 138. Garcia et al. doesn't show the locking member being biased from the unlocking position toward the locking position. However, Magi teaches the use of a locking member (16 and 46) being biased (see the spring 55) from the unlocking position toward the locking position (see Fig. 4) for the purpose of avoiding the entry of foreign object into the assembly. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device of Garcia et al. by providing the locking member as taught by Magi in order to obtain a device that avoids the

Application/Control Number: 10/721,041 Page 3

Art Unit: 3724

entry of waste material produced by the cutting of tile, marble or granite, into the assembly.

- Claims 2, 3, 36 and 37; extension member is both pivotally and slideably movable relative the tile engagement member (see Fig. 8).
- Claims 4 and 11; a friction connection 130.
- Claims 5 and 14; Magi teaches cam surfaces 45.
- Claims 6 and 38; the locking member of Magi is capable of pivotally move with the extension member relative to the tile engagement member.
- Claims 7-9, 12 and 13; Magi teaches actuation portions 47 and a resilient portion
 55.
- Claim 10; the scribe member is pivotally connected to the extension member (see
 Fig. 8).
- Claims 15 and 39; a single monolithic member having a straight scribe edge (see
 Fig. 1).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Callahan is cited to show related device.

Application/Control Number: 10/721,041 Page 4

Art Unit: 3724

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Omar Flores-Sánchez whose telephone number is 571-272-4507. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ofs 11/13/07

> BOYER D. ASHLEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER